



\$~7

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA 597/2017

JAGDISH RAI

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Riya Dhingra, Advocate

versus

RAM KRISHAN & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Anand, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 and 3

Mr. Vikas Mittal-LR No.1(B) of deceased
respondent No.1 in person

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

26.04.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through
physical/hybrid mode.

**CM APPL.31262/2021 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC & CM
APPL.31263/2021 (delay)**

Mr. Mukesh Anand, Advocate appearing for respondent Nos.2
and 3 submits that he does not wish to file any reply and he has no
objection to the applications being allowed.

As per office report, notice could not be issued to LR Nos.(D)
and (E) of deceased respondent No.1 as process fee was not filed by
the appellant.

On appellant's taking steps within a week, issue notice to LR
Nos.(D) and (E) of deceased respondent No.1, by all permissible
modes, returnable on 03.08.2022. It may be indicated in the notice
that reply, if any, be filed before the next date.

Page 1 of 2



Learned counsel for the appellant submit that there is possibility of settlement between the parties. She further submits that LR No.(C) of respondent No.1 has also died and she would take appropriate steps for bringing his legal heirs on record. Let the needful be done before the next date.

In the meantime, let reply, if any, be filed by respondent No.4 and LR Nos.(A) and (B) of deceased respondent No.1 within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellant, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 26, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2