

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10822/2016 & CM No. 42421/2016**

VVC REALINFRA PRIVATE LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr Divyakant Lahoti, Mr Parikshit
Ahuja, Ms Amrita Grover and Ms
Praveena Bisht, Advocates.
versus

GAIL INDIA LIMITED Respondent
Through: Ms Purnima Maheshwari, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU
ORDER
% **24.04.2019**

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner points out that the order dated 23.01.2019 has not been complied with inasmuch as the affidavit furnished by GAIL in compliance with the said order does not give the breakup of the amount of ₹1,91,28,911/- as directed.
2. It is the petitioner's contention that the petitioner had submitted the bill for the same items twice. It is contended that execution of certain items spanned over the period relating to multiple running bills. In view of the above, the petitioner had submitted bills for the same items but had limited its bill only to the portion of the amount work done during the period covering the concerned RA Bill.
3. Let a detailed breakup of the amount of ₹1,91,28,911/- be filed before the next date of hearing.
4. List on 04.07.2019 at 2:30 PM.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 24, 2019/MK