



\$~28 to 30

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

28

+ **RC.REV. 293/2018 & CM APPL. 26390/2018**

RAJINDER KUMAR DHAWAN ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta and Mr.  
Shivank S. Singh, Advocates

versus

PAWAN SHARMA ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Dalip Kr. Malhotra and Mr.  
Rajesh Kr. Malhotra, Advocates

29

+ **RC.REV. 347/2018, CM APPL. 20297/2019 & 29624/2018**

NEERAJ MEHRA & ANR ..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Shalini Kapoor, Ms. Divyanshi  
Saxena and Mr. Udit Bhatiani,  
Advocates

versus

PAWAN SHARMA & ANR ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Dalip Kr. Malhotra and Mr.  
Rajesh Kr. Malhotra, Advocates

30

+ **RC.REV. 456/2018, CM APPL. 38277/2018, 38276/2018 &  
38274/2018**

MEHAR CHAND & ANR ..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Mittal, Advocate

versus

PAWAN SHARMA ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Dalip Kr. Malhotra and Mr.  
Rajesh Kr. Malhotra, Advocates



**CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER  
06.03.2024**

%

**[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]**

1. After part arguments, learned counsel for respondent/landlord seeks time to examine the brief again in order to explain the denial of specific pleadings of the tenant that premises no. 867 were taken over by the respondent/landlord way back in the year 2004. The said premises are depicted in the site plan at PDF page 127 of Vol. I of RC.REV. 456/2018. Learned counsel for respondent/landlord needs to ascertain as to whether he had pleaded that premise no. 867 was mezzanine floor, sold away long ago.
2. List for further final arguments on 08.05.2024. Interim orders to continue till next date.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**MARCH 6, 2024/rk**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*