



\$~8 to 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1740/2017

VOLUNTEERS WELFARE ASSOCIATION, HIMACHAL
PRADESH Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

9

+ W.P.(C) 2182/2017

VIJAY KUMAR AND ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly CGSC with Ms.
Shreya Jetly, Advocates.

10

+ W.P.(C) 4637/2017

RAJENDER PAL AND ORS ... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents



Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, CGSC along with
Ms. Usha Jamval, Mr. Farman Ali,
Mr. Manas Tripathi and Mr. Varun
Aggarwal, Advocates.

11

+ W.P.(C) 4653/2017

SURESH KUMAR AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, CGSC along with
Ms. Usha Jamval, Mr. Farman Ali,
Mr. Manas Tripathi and Mr. Varun
Aggarwal, Advocates.

12

+ W.P.(C) 4669/2017

JASVEER SINGH & ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, CGSC along with
Ms. Usha Jamval, Mr. Farman Ali,
Mr. Manas Tripathi and Mr. Varun
Aggarwal, Advocates.



13

+ W.P.(C) 4677/2017

OM DUTT & ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Mr. Shaurya,
Advocates.

14

+ W.P.(C) 5177/2017

JASBIR SINGH AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

15

+ W.P.(C) 5178/2017

ANJANA DEVI AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate



16

+ W.P.(C) 5179/2017

BISHAMBER SINGH AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

17

+ W.P.(C) 5180/2017

OM DUTT AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

18

+ W.P.(C) 5181/2017

CHET RAM AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus



UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

19

+ W.P.(C) 5188/2017

HIRA PAL AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate

20

+ W.P.(C) 6386/2018

MANGAL SINGH & ORS

.... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Reley, Mr. Akshay Lodhi,
Mr. Vinod Sharma and Mr. Gaurav
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Mr. Shaurya,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

02.12.2022

%

1. On 30.07.2018, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the issues raised in the present batch of petitions has also been raised in W.P.(C)



259/2018 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court which fact is evident from the order dated 16.07.2018 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforementioned petition.

2. Today, learned counsel for parties jointly submit that the said petition is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court for adjudication.

3. In view of above, these petitions are adjourned *sine die* with liberty to the parties to take steps in view of outcome of W.P.(C) 259/2018 pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court.

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

DECEMBER 2, 2022/ va/rk