



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 2510/2015

SHOBHA AWASTHI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vidhan Malik, Adv.

versus

ASHOK KUMAR & ANR

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Harsh Bahl, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

28.02.2025

%

**I.A.No.1494/2025 (under Order XI Rule 7 of Delhi High
Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 r/w Provision of
Section 16 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff)**

1. As per office note, notice could not be issued as PF not filed.
2. Learned counsel for defendants accepts notice of the captioned IA and submits that names of certain witnesses mentioned in the captioned IA are not reflecting in the list of witnesses filed by plaintiff.
3. Learned proxy counsel for the plaintiff submits that main counsel is not available today.
4. Heard. Record perused.
5. Perusal of record shows that name of witness i.e. Record Clerk from the office of Regional Settlement Commissioner (Management Wing), New Delhi, Ministry of Rehabilitation, Government of India is also



mentioned in the list of witnesses filed by the plaintiff.

6. Accordingly, the captioned IA is partially allowed and the witness i.e. Record Clerk from the office of Regional Settlement Commissioner (Management Wing), New Delhi, Ministry of Rehabilitation, Government of India is permitted to be summoned along with record, on filing of PF, returnable on **23rd May, 2025**. Diet money be paid at the spot.
7. Re-notify the matter for consideration on the captioned IA on the next date of hearing.

CS(OS) 2510/2015

8. The matter is listed for remaining plaintiff's evidence. No PW is present.
9. Proxy counsel for plaintiff seeks adjournment on the ground that main counsel is not available today. Not opposed. In view of the same, the matter stands adjourned.
10. Re-notify the matter for remaining plaintiff's evidence on **23rd May, 2025**.

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

FEBRUARY 28, 2025/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any