



\$~9 to 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 622/2017**

PAWAN KUMAR AHLUWALIA Appellant
Represented by: Mr.Pawan Narang and Mr.Samrat
Nigam, Advocates

versus

CBI Respondent
Represented by: Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Mr.Hiral
Gupta, Ms.Smrithi Suresh and
Mr.Akshay N., Advocates

+ **CRL.A. 642/2017**

HARISH CHANDRA GUPTA Appellant
Represented by: Mr.B.S.Mathur, Advocate

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Respondent
Represented by: Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Mr.Hiral
Gupta, Ms.Smrithi Suresh and
Mr.Akshay N., Advocates

+ **CRL.A. 687/2017**

KAILASH CHAND SHARMA Appellant
Represented by: Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Rahul Tyagi and Ms.Kanika Jain,
Advocates

versus

C B I & ORS Respondents
Represented by: Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Mr.Hiral
Gupta, Ms.Smrithi Suresh and



Mr.Akshay N., Advocates for R-1
Mr.Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC for
R-2

+ **CRL.A. 688/2017**

KULJIT SINGH KROPHA

..... Appellant

Represented by:

Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Rahul Tyagi, Ms.Kanika Jain and
Mr.Shikhar Sareen, Advocates

versus

C B I

..... Respondent

Represented by:

Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Mr.Hiral
Gupta, Ms.Smrithi Suresh and
Mr.Akshay N., Advocates

+ **CRL.A. 712/2017**

KAMAL SPONGE STEELS AND POWER
LIMITED

..... Appellant

Represented by:

Mr.Pawan Narang and Mr.Siddharth
Handa, Advocates

versus

CBI

..... Respondent

Represented by:

Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Mr.Hiral
Gupta, Ms.Smrithi Suresh and
Mr.Akshay N., Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

15.01.2019

Crl.A.No.642/2017

Learned counsel for the appellant in Crl.A.No.642/2017 seeks



discharge. Mr.Harish Chander Gupta, the appellant in Crl.A.No.642/2017 is present in person and seeks some time to engage a counsel.

At request, renotify on 2nd September, 2019.

Mr.B.S.Mathur, Advocate is discharged from Crl.A.No.642/2017.

Crl.M.A.Nos.18554/2017 & 4410/2018 in Crl.A.No.687/2017

Crl.M.A.No.18556/2017 in Crl.A.No.688/2017

Notice be issued in Crl.M.A.No.4410/2018.

Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent in the application. Status report, if any, be filed within six weeks. Response, if any be filed four weeks thereafter.

By these applications, the appellants want to rely upon the testimony of V.S.Rana, the star witness of the prosecution who has elaborated the role of the two appellants herein who are public servants. It is the case of the appellants that though in the evidence. V.S.Rana claimed that appellants were involved however in other trials he admitted that the scrutiny had taken much before the appellant in Crl.A.No.687/2017 had joined.

List for hearing of these applications on 2nd September, 2019.

Crl.M.A.No.10991/2017 in Crl.A.No.687/2017

Crl.M.A.No.18596/2017 in Crl.A.No.688/2017

No notice has been issued in the applications.

List on 2nd September, 2019.

Crl.A.Nos.622/2017, 687/2017, 688/2017 & 712/2017

List on 2nd September, 2019.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 15, 2019

mamta