



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 1423/2016**

BRIJESH KUMAR & ASSOCIATES Plaintiff
Through: Mr. M.K Vashishth, Adv. (through VC)
Ms. Pratibha Shukla, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Ajay Brahme, Adv. (through VC)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SURYA MALIK GROVER
(DHJS)

ORDER
29.04.2022

%

Witness is present. Counsel for Defendant, DDA submits that he is having a medical difficulty, on account of which his movement is restricted. Short adjournment has been sought.

Opposite counsel has submitted that though he has no objection, adjournment being sought on grounds of medical difficulty of counsel, prior intimation should have been given as plaintiff is a senior citizen and suffering from various ailments.

Adjournment is granted subject to filing of medical advice/ prescription of the defendant counsel prior to the next date.

List the matter for plaintiff evidence on **05.08.2022 at 12:00 noon.**

SURYA MALIK GROVER (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 29, 2022
manish (DEO)