



\$~61 to 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6725/2017

MANOJ KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.

62

+ W.P.(C) 11327/2017

AHIRE VIJAYPetitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Srivastava and Mr.
Prashant Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.

63

+ W.P.(C) 6746/2017

RAJESH KUMAR UPADHYAYPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.

64

+ W.P.(C) 6747/2017

CHANDRA LOK BIKRAM SINGHPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

versus



65
+ UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.
W.P.(C) 6755/2017
RANJEET KUMAR RAIPetitioner
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.
versus

66
+ UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.
W.P.(C) 6830/2017
GOBIND CHANDRA DEYPetitioner
Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.
versus

67
+ UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.
W.P.(C) 732/2020
MD. KHURSHID ALAMPetitioner
Through: Mr. Arun Srivastava and Mr.
Prashant Kumar, Advocates.
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Pragati, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

% **ORDER**
28.10.2025



1. Ms. Pragati, learned Counsel appears on behalf of Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, learned CGSC, who appears for the respondents in these matters, submits that Mr. Bhardwaj has to travel outside Delhi owing to a personal emergency.
2. Mr. Ankur Chhibber, learned Counsel for the petitioner, confirms that Mr. Bhardwaj has contacted him yesterday and intimated him of the same.
3. We have already noted, on the last date of hearing, that these are writ petitions of 2017 and that we were not even inclined to pass over the matters today. Nonetheless, in view of the submissions made by learned Counsel for the respondents, as a matter of indulgence, re-notify on 11 November 2025.
4. We make it clear that if, for any reason, the Counsel is not available on the next date of hearing, alternate arrangement should be made to have the matter represented and argued, failing which the Court would hear the Counsel who are available, peruse the record and pass the order.
5. Re-notify on 11 November 2025 in the supplementary list.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2025/pa