



\$~77 to 80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

77

+ W.P.(C) 9445/2016 CM APPL. 72917/2024

HIND CONSTRUCTION CO.

.....Petitioner

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS

.....Respondents

78

+ W.P.(C) 9448/2016 CM APPL. 72927/2024

HIND CONSTRUCTION CO

.....Petitioner

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS

.....Respondent

79

+ W.P.(C) 4926/2019 CM APPL. 73029/2024

M/S KHAJAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

versus

DIRECTOR (DEMS) NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION AND ORS.

.....Respondents

80

+ W.P.(C) 4965/2019 CM APPL. 72931/2024

M/S KHAJAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Presence: Ms. Anusuya Salwan, Mr. Bankim Garg, Ms. Sonika Singh &
Mr. Rachit Wadhwa, Advocates for Hind Construction Co.



Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing Counsel for SDMC with Mr. Shivam & Mr. Solanki Das, Advocates

Mr. Bhavishya Makhija & Mr. Amaan Khan on behalf of Mr. Siddhant Nath (Standing Counsel MCD)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

22.04.2025

1. Pursuant to the previous order, the following status as regards the refund of Service Tax which had been deducted from the bills of petitioner, as filed by the petitioner, is as under:

Case No.	Writ Petition	Application filed for modification of amount	Final Amount	Amount Paid	Remaining amount to be paid
9445/2016 MIS HIND CONST. VERSUS SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION	Rs. 70,02,956/- (April 2012-June 2016)	C.M No. 25650 of 2022 Rs. 1,08,58,943/- (June 2012-Feb 2019)	Rs. 1,08,58,943/-	Rs. 70,02,956/- Through RTGS on 05.10.2024	Rs. 3,855,987/-
9448/2016 M/S HIND CONST. VERSUS NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION	Rs. 46,55,70/- (June 2015- June 2016)	C.M No. 25646 of 2022 Rs. 1,32,09,275/- (June 2012-March 2018)	Rs. 1,32,09,275/-	No Payment	Rs. 1,32,09,275/-
4965/2019 M/S KHAJAN SINGH VERSUS SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION	Rs. 42,24,536/- (June-July 2016 & August-November 2016)	C.M No. 25659 of 2022 Rs. 42,24,536/- (June-July 2016 & August-November 2016)	Rs. 42,24,536/-	Rs. 28,88,540/- Through RTGS on 22.10.2024	Rs. 13,35,996/-

2. As regards **W.P.(C) 4926/2019**, counsel for the petitioner states that the amount has been received and they have no grievance in that regard.

3. The issue relates to **W.P.(C) 9445/2016** and **W.P.(C) 4965/2019** in which amount of Rs.70,02,956/- and Rs.28,88,540/- have been received respectively, though according to petitioner's counsel, the amount as per the table above, are yet to be paid.

4. Counsel for respondent however states, that the additional amounts



being demanded along with interest do not form part of decision of the Court dated 7th August 2024.

5. As regards **W.P.(C) 9448/2016** the reply regarding payment is not on record.

6. *Mr. Tushar Sannu, ASC* states that he shall duly file the same in order that there is complete information regarding the payments.

7. As regards dissonance between petitioner and respondents with respect to outstanding balance, same shall be considered on the next date, basis the replies filed.

8. An affidavit will be filed in **W.P.(C) 9445/2016** , **W.P.(C) 9448/2016** and **W.P.(C) 4965/2019** by the respondent stating the amounts that have been deducted on account of service tax from bills of petitioner, with copy to the counsel for petitioner.

9. List on 28th July 2025.

10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 22, 2025/sm