



\$~3 & 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 626/2017

PAWAN

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.K.Singhal, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Kusum Dhalla, APP for the State
with SI Vikas Deep PS Sonia
Vihar North East District

AND

+ CRL.A. 643/2017

SANJAY

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Akshay Bhandari, Advocate

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP for the
State with SI Vikas Deep PS Sonia
Vihar North East District

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

% **21.09.2017**

CRL.M.B.1138/2017 in CRL.A.626/2017

CRL.M.B.1170/2017 in CRL.A.643/2017

1. By way of these applications filed under Section 389 Cr.P.C., appellants are seeking suspension of sentence and grant of bail during the pendency of the appeals.



2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellants have submitted that Call Detail Records (CDRs) in respect of the mobile numbers being used by both the appellants were obtained and in the supplementary charge-sheet it was specifically mentioned that as per CDR both the appellants were not in touch through their respective mobiles, it was necessary for the prosecution to at least place on record the location chart in respect of the mobile numbers of the appellants to prove their presence at the spot.
3. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned for today by directing the State to place on record location chart in respect of the mobile numbers of the appellants i.e. Pawan, Mobile No.9996914663 (Airtel) & Sanjay, Mobile No.9812411606 (Idea), within a period of two weeks.
4. Today learned APP for the State submits that since the CDR is not available with the police file the tower location could not be verified and more time may be given for the said purpose.
5. Learned counsel for the appellant, Pawan (appellant in Crl.A.626/2017) has furnished the copy of the CDR to the learned APP for the State today in the Court.
6. Renotify for 31st October, 2017.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

SEPTEMBER 21, 2017

'pg'