



\$~10 & 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 626/2017

PAWAN

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.K.Singhal, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Kusum Dhalla, APP for the State
with SI Vikas Deep PS Sonia Vihar

AND

+ CRL.A. 643/2017

SANJAY

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.K.Singhal, Advocate

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP for the
State with SI Vikas Deep PS Sonia
Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

04.09.2017

CRL.M.B.1138/2017 in CRL.A.626/2017

CRL.M.B.1170/2017 in CRL.A.643/2017

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submit that although Call Detail Records (CDRs) in respect of the mobile numbers being used by both the

CRL.A. 626/2017

page 1 of 2



appellants were obtained and in the supplementary charge-sheet it was specifically mentioned that as per CDR both the appellants were not in touch through their respective mobiles, it was necessary for the prosecution to at least place on record the location chart in respect of the mobile numbers of the appellants to prove their presence at the spot.

2. It is also submitted that CDR has been obtained, from which it is difficult to argue as to the location of the appellants, the prosecution may be directed to place on record the location chart so that no dispute is raised on the authenticity of the location chart.

3. Prosecution is directed to place on record location chart in respect of the mobile numbers of the appellants i.e. Pawan, Mobile No.9996914663 (Airtel) & Sanjay, Mobile No.9812411606 (Idea), within a period of two weeks.

4. Re-notify for 21st September, 2017.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

SEPTEMBER 04, 2017

'hkaur'