



\$~26, 27 & 28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **EX.P. 152/2016**

ALL INDIA AIRCRAFT ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION
(THROUGH AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY)
& ANR.

..... Decree Holders

Through: Mr Bijender Singh, Mr Surendra
Singh, Ms S.K. Sharma and Ms
Nikita Jain, Advocates.

versus

AIR INDIA LIMITED(THROUGH CHAIRMAN)
& ORS.

..... Judgement Debtors

Through: Ms Saakshi Agrawal, Advocate for
JD-1.
Mr Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Ms Gunjan Sinha Jain and Ms
Kritika Shukla, Advocates.

WITH

+ **O.M.P. 33/2016**

AIR INDIA LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Bijender Singh, Mr Surendra
Singh, Ms S.K. Sharma and Ms
Nikita Jain, Advocates.

versus

ALL INDIA AIRCRAFT ENGINEERS
ASSOCIATION

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Ms Gunjan Sinha Jain and Ms
Kritika Shukla, Advocates.
Ms Anjana Gosain, Ms Rabiya Singh
Thakur and Ms Shalini Nair,
Advocates for MoCA.
Mr R. Sudhinder, Mr Dattatray Vyas,
Ms Ekta Bhasin, Mr Anurag Tirpathi
and Mr Deepanshu Arora, Advocates



in IA No. 178/2018.
AND

+ **O.M.P. 34/2016**

NATIONAL AVIATION COMPANY OF INDIA
NOW AIR INDIA LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through
versus

INDIAN AIRCRAFT TECHNICIANS ASSOCIATION
& ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Ms Gunjan Sinha Jain and Ms
Kritika Shukla, Advocates.
Mr Vinay Sabharwal and Mr Rakesh
Kumar, Advocates for IATA.
Ms Anjana Gosain, Ms Rabiya Singh
Thakur and Ms Shalini Nair,
Advocates for MoCA.
Mr R. Sudhinder, Mr Dattatray Vyas,
Ms Ekta Bhasin, Mr Anurag Tirpathi
and Mr Deepanshu Arora, Advocates
in IA No. 178/2018.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER
% **10.04.2018**

IA No. 178/2018 in O.M.P. 33/2016

IA No. 179/2018 in O.M.P. 34/2016

1. These are the applications filed on behalf of India Airlines Officers' Association seeking intervention in the present proceedings.
2. It is seen that the present proceedings have been filed by the petitioner challenging the arbitral award dated 25.05.2016 and concededly, the applicant was not a party before the Arbitral Tribunal. The scope of the



present proceedings is limited to examine whether the arbitral award dated 25.05.2016 can be set aside on the anvil of Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

3. In this view, there is no scope for permitting the applicants to intervene in the said proceedings. The disputes settled by the arbitration are *inter se* disputes before the Arbitral Tribunal and, therefore, this Court does not find any merit in extending the present application.

4. The same is, accordingly, dismissed.

EX.P. 152/2016 and EA(OS) 785/2016 & 786/2016
O.M.P. 33/2016 and IA Nos. 10716/2016 & 2583/2017
O.M.P. 34/2016 and IA No. 10718/2016

5. List on 27.04.2018.

APRIL 10, 2018
RK

VIBHU BAKHRU, J