

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1154/2015

ABHILASHA AGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Handoo and Mr. Yoginder
Handoo, Advs.

versus

DIRECTOR ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE &
ORS.

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Gaurav Sarin, Sr. Panel Adv.
with Mr. Ajitesh Kumar, Ms. Charul
Sarin and Ms. Veera Angrish, Advs.
for R-1 to R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **27.05.2015**

Vide prayers (b) and (c) petitioner has challenged the provisional attachment order passed under Section 5(1) of The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (hereinafter referred to as the Act). Adjudicating proceedings are still pending. Against the order of Adjudicating Authority passed under Section 8 of the Act, remedies under Sections 26 and 42 of the Act are available to the petitioner. Accordingly, I am not inclined to entertain the petition qua the prayers (b) and (c). Petitioner is at liberty to avail alternate remedies as may be available to him under the law against the provisional attachment order.

As regards prayer (a), petitioner seeks quashing of ECIR No.

318/DLZO/2009 dated 18th December, 2009. Let counter affidavit be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto be filed within four weeks thereafter.

List on 11th August, 2015.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MAY 27, 2015
ga