

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5561/2017 & CM APPL. 23356/2017

YUNUS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Kaushal, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kavindra Gill, for R-1/UOI.

Ms. Chetanbir Gill, Adv. for Ms.
Niharika Ahluwalia, Adv. for R-
3/DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

15.01.2020

1. It is the case of the petitioner that pursuant to the notification dated 20.8.1974 issued by the Central Government, the subject parcel of land was vested in the DDA with the sole purpose of maintaining it as a "green area".

2. Counsel for the petitioner says that contrary to the said notification, the DDA is permitting construction of a mall. In support of his plea, learned counsel relies upon the photographs appended to the rejoinder.

3. Ms. Chetanbir Gill, who appears for the DDA, says that she has not been served with a copy of the rejoinder. Let the same be furnished in the course of the day.

4. The DDA will respond to the aforementioned allegation advanced on behalf of the petitioner before the next date of hearing. A status report will be filed by the DDA as to whether or not any structure has

W.P.(C) 5561/2017

1/2

come up on the subject land contrary to the aforementioned notification. In case the DDA finds that the assertions made on behalf of the petitioner are true, action according to the law will be taken before the next date of hearing.

5. Renotify the matter on 15.7.2020.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

JANUARY 15, 2020

pmc

W.P.(C) 5561/2017

2/2