



\$~18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 56/2017

SH. DEEPAK ARORA & ORS ..... Decree Holders

Through: Ms Prena Chopra, Adv

versus

SMT. KAVITA ARORA & ORS ..... Judgement Debtors

Through: None.

**CORAM:**

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **22.08.2017**

**Ex Appl (OS) No. 324/2017 (u/O V Rule 20 r/w Section 151 CPC  
filed by DHs)**

Heard on IA.

Record perused.

Judgement debtors 1, 2 & 4 could not be served by way of ordinary mode of service.

Counsel for decree holders submits that decree holders are not aware of other addresses of judgement debtors 1, 2 & 4 and the addresses as mentioned in the Memo of Parties are their last known addresses.

In view of above and the report received, this Court is of the view that judgement debtors 1, 2 & 4 cannot be served by way of ordinary mode of service.



2025:DHC:4413

Accordingly, the instant IA preferred by decree holders, is hereby allowed. Judgement debtors 1, 2 & 4 are permitted to be served by way of affixation at their last known addresses.

Besides service by way of affixation, judgement debtors 1, 2 & 4 are also directed to be served by way of publication in two national dailies one in Hindi and another in English, circulating in the area where judgement debtors are residing or carrying on business or working for gain or lastly resided.

Dasti process allowed.

IA stands disposed of accordingly.

Renotify the matter on the date already fixed in the case i.e. 23.10.2017.

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**AUGUST 22, 2017**  
sk