



\$~19, 28 to 31, 33, 40 to 46, 48, 50 to 52, 56, 67, 69, 70, 75, 77 to 82, 86,  
87, 93 to 95, 104, 110, 112, 114, 121 to 131, 133 to 141, 143 to 169 & 171  
\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ W.P.(C) 15722/2025  
KAVITA AGARWAL .....Petitioner  
versus  
DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION & ANR.  
.....Respondents

*With*

W.P.(C) 11480/2015, W.P.(C) 1957/2016, W.P.(C) 9418/2018,  
W.P.(C) 11519/2019, W.P.(C) 1420/2019, W.P.(C) 12184/2019,  
W.P.(C) 12185/2019, W.P.(C) 8843/2021, W.P.(C) 10979/2022,  
W.P.(C) 10984/2022, W.P.(C) 4199/2022, W.P.(C) 12918/2019,  
W.P.(C) 10754/2020, W.P.(C) 1434/2021, W.P.(C) 2899/2021,  
W.P.(C) 12198/2022, W.P.(C) 6883/2021, W.P.(C) 9203/2022,  
W.P.(C) 10850/2022, W.P.(C) 12428/2022, W.P.(C)  
15131/2022, W.P.(C) 17246/2022, W.P.(C) 1727/2023, W.P.(C)  
1774/2023, W.P.(C) 6285/2023, W.P.(C) 9362/2023, W.P.(C)  
16533/2023, W.P.(C) 11274/2023, W.P.(C) 13097/2023,  
W.P.(C) 1034/2024, W.P.(C) 4214/2024, W.P.(C) 4639/2024,  
W.P.(C) 13588/2024, W.P.(C) 3060/2025, W.P.(C) 13628/2025,  
W.P.(C) 18041/2025, W.P.(C) 7402/2018, W.P.(C) 10121/2018,  
W.P.(C) 10221/2018, W.P.(C) 24/2019, W.P.(C) 16556/2022,  
W.P.(C) 6317/2023, W.P.(C) 803/2019, W.P.(C) 6333/2020,  
W.P.(C) 4563/2021, W.P.(C) 11619/2021, W.P.(C) 3592/2022,  
W.P.(C) 13270/2022, W.P.(C) 14599/2022, W.P.(C) 14929/2022,  
W.P.(C) 15614/2022, W.P.(C) 15865/2022, W.P.(C) 17738/2022,  
W.P.(C) 5565/2022, W.P.(C) 5587/2022, W.P.(C) 10557/2023,  
W.P.(C) 10904/2023, W.P.(C) 1120/2023, W.P.(C) 1124/2023,  
W.P.(C) 11276/2023, W.P.(C) 1161/2023, W.P.(C) 155/2023,  
W.P.(C) 1917/2023, W.P.(C) 2076/2023, W.P.(C) 2090/2023,  
W.P.(C) 2091/2023, W.P.(C) 2229/2023, W.P.(C) 4321/2023,  
W.P.(C) 4778/2023, W.P.(C) 4793/2023, W.P.(C) 5290/2023,  
W.P.(C) 5717/2023, W.P.(C) 5767/2023, W.P.(C) 5925/2023,  
W.P.(C) 6447/2023, W.P.(C) 6465/2023, W.P.(C) 6486/2023,  
W.P.(C) 6848/2023, W.P.(C) 707/2023, W.P.(C) 710/2023,  
W.P.(C) 712/2023, W.P.(C) 732/2023, W.P.(C) 7349/2023, W.P.(C)  
11225/2022



**Appearances:**

Mr. K.B. Shankar, Advocate for R-2 & 3 in Item No. 75.

Mr. Gaurav Dhingra and Mr. Shashank Singh, Advocates for DoE in Item Nos. 75, 25, 36, 37, 161, 175, 40, 41, 42, 48, 49, 51, 53, 67, 80, 86, 97, 98, 104.

Mr. Sujeet Kr. Mishra and Mr. Harsh Pandey, Advocates for DoE in Item Nos., 75, 30, 71, 72, 26, 27, 77, 50.

Mr. Harsh Yadav and Mr. Sumit Dubey, Advocates for Respondent in Item Nos. 69,70.

Ms. Aastha Gupta, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 19.

Mr. A.R. Pandey, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 80.

Ms. Anannya Jain, Advocate for R-4 & 5 in Item No. 80.

Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Advocate for R-2 to R-5 in Item No. 87.

Ms. Purna Sharma, Mr. Sunny Vashist and, Amit Yadav, Advocates for the Petitioners in Item No. 93.

Mr. Aditya Gauri, Ms. Damini Srestha, Mr. Anant Jain and Mr. Aryan Chhabra, Advocates for R-2 & R-3 in Item No. 93

Ms. Aastha Vishwakarma, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 77.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate for R-2 in Item No. 77.

Mr. Vijay Sethi, Mr. Nitin Gupta, Advocates for R-2 in Item No. 19.

Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC (GNCTD), with Mr. N.K. Singh, Mr. Nitish Kumar Singh, Ms. Aliza Alam and Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates for GNCTD in Item Nos. 29, 31, 48, 49, 51, 76, 81, 97, 98, 118, 129, 131, 150, 167, 169.

Mr. Akshit Sachdeva and Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 48,49.



Mr. Namit Suri, Advocates for Respondent No. 1 in Item Nos. 50 to 52.

Mr. Rajat Aneja, Ms. Anamika Bag, Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 51 and 52.

Mr. Rajesh Mohan Sinha, Mr. Prateek Mohan Sinha, Ms. Namita Sinha, Ms. Nandini Harsh and Mr. Krishnendu Das, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 30, 31, 56.

Mr. Rajesh Mohan Sinha, Mr. Prateek Mohan Sinha, Ms. Namita Sinha, Ms. Nandini Harsh and Mr. Krishnendu Das, Advocates for Petitioner in Item Nos. 71, 72.

Mr. Ravi Bassi and Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocates for proposed Respondent No. 3 in Item No. 33.

Dr. Rajeev Kumar, OSD Zone-VI for DoE in Item No. 31, 71, 72.

Mr. Ashok Agarwal, Mr. Kumar Utkarsh, Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Ashna Khan, Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 114, 28, 29-31, 33, 40-46, 50, 56, 67, 79, 86, 94.

Mr. Pankaj Balwan and Mr. Utkarsh, Advocates for Petitioner in Item No. 75.

Mr. Kamal Mehta, Advocate for Petitioner No. 6 in Item No. 131.

Mr. Kamal Gupta, Advocate for Respondent school in Item Nos. 33, 131, 114, 135, 136, 148, 149, 150, 161 to 163.

Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Ms. Vishruti Pandey and Mr. Sachin Garg, Advocates for DoE in Item Nos. 94, 40-45, 48, 67, 70, 73, 93, 131, 135.

Mr. Shyamal Kumar and Ms. Apoorva Pal, Advocates for Respondent school in Item No. 110.

Mr. Arjun Mitra and Ms. Jayanti Jha, Advocates for Respondents in Item No. 111.

Mr. Santosh Kr. Rout, Advocate for UOI in Item No. 120.

Mr. Kumar Utkarsh, Advocate for R-2 in Item



Nos. 122, 123.

Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Mr. Utkarsh Kumar, Mr. Manas Verma, Ms. Kritika Matta, Ms. Tanya Rose, Ms. Bhumica Kundra, Mr. Nikhil Pawar, Mr. Shubham Bahl, Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Mr. Shakib Malik, Ms. Anjali Bansal and Mr. Lovekesh Chauhan, Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 95, 110, 130, 131, 133, 140-159, 165, 167, 168.

Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan, Advocate for DoE in Item Nos. 148, 149, 165, 30 to 31, 46, 71, 78, 114, 131, 132.

Mr. Nikhilesh Kumar, Advocate for Petitioners in Item Nos. 16, 162, 163.

Ms. Latika Chaudhary, Advocate for DoE in Item Nos. 33, 59, 67, 72, 50, 104, 110, 163, 162, 161, 160, 155, 141, 140, 137, 136, 134, 130, 126, 122.

Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate for Petitioner in Item Nos. 164.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Pathak, SPC with Mr. Sanjay Kr. Mishra and Ms. Akriti Pathak, Advocates for UOI in Item Nos. 173, 174, 175.

Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra and Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advocates for University of Delhi in Item No. 36.

Mr. Pramod Gupta, Ms. Yogita, Ms. Ishita, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 102, 137, 146, 137, 146 and for R-3 & 4 in Item No. 132.

Mr. Tushar Sannu and Mr. Pravin Bansal, Advocates for GNCTD in Item Nos. 63, 93, 107.

Ms. Parul Shukla, AOR and Ms. Keesha Kumar, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 74.

Mr. Ashwani Kr. Dubey, Mr. Nikhil Verma and Ms. Shreen Bajpai, Advocates for R-1 in Item No. 78.

Mr. Jay Savla, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rajpal Singh and Ms. Kenisha Savla, Advocates for Respondent in Item No. 79.



Mr. Sahil Khurana, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 81.

Mr. V. Balaji with Mr. Nizamuddin, Advocates for Respondent Nos. 1-3 in Item No. 80 and for R-2 in Item No. 82 and for DoE in Item Nos. 101, 131, 134, 146, 152, 157.

Mr. H.L. Tiku, Senior Advocate with Mr. Yashmeet Kaur and Mr. Hitesh Wadhwa, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 81, 89.

Mr. Onkar Nath and Mr. Anuj Mirdha, Advocates for Petitioner in Item No. 89.

Ms. Nandadevi Deka, Mr. Shashwat Pratyush and Ms. Shubhra Sharma, Advocates for R-4 in Item No. 90.

Mr. Dilsidak Kaur, Advocate for R-3 & 4 in Item No. 92.

Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Panel Counsel for GNCTD in Item No. 19.

Mr. Pulkit Tare and Ms. Parul Madan, Advocates for Respondent No. 1 in Item No. 82, 94, 134, 151, 152, 154, 158, 159.

Mr. Samdarshi Sanjay & Mr. Ashish Kumar Sharma, Advocates for R-1 & 2.

Mr. Pulkit Tare, and Ms. Parul Madan, Advocates for Respondent No. 1 in Item No. 82, 94, 134, 151, 152, 154, 158, 159.

Mr. Vinayak Thakur, Advocate for Petitioner in Item No. 82.

Mr. Khagesh B. Jha, Ms. Shikha Sharma Bagga, Ms. Khushi Sachdeva, Mr. Ankit Mann and Ms. Jyoti Shokeen, Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 48, 78, 112.

Mr. Namit Suri and Ms. Tanya Sharma, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 51, 52.

Mrs. Niyati Sharma, Ms. T Imlinaro Jamir, Advocates for the Petitioner in Item No. 169.

Mr. Anurag Lakhotia and Mr. Udit Dwivedi, Advocates for R-1 and R-2 in Items - 67, 124, 125,



126, 144, 145, 147, 153, 165, 166, 168.

Mr. Kishan Rawat, Advocate for R-1 in Item 28.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

**10.03.2026**

%

1. This batch of petitions concerns claims raised by teachers seeking implementation of the recommendations of the Central Pay Commissions, ranging from the 4<sup>th</sup> CPC to the 7<sup>th</sup> CPC. The batch also includes a set of matters which now stand remanded for fresh consideration pursuant to the judgment passed in LPA 762/2023 and connected matters.

2. At the outset, it may be noted that, in the present batch, several counsel are appearing through video conferencing while others are present in Court. In a matter of this breadth, since a large number of counsel are involved, hybrid hearing becomes difficult to conduct as submissions are advanced simultaneously in both modes. In order to ensure an orderly, and effective hearing, it is considered appropriate that all counsel remain present in person on the next date of hearing. Counsel appearing in the matter agree to this course.

3. In the course of hearing, the Court has heard Mr. Kamal Gupta, who appears for several Respondent schools. The Court has also heard Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, who appears for the Petitioners in some of the matters. Broadly speaking, the submissions urged on behalf of the parties across the batch appear to overlap substantially, though individual factual variations may remain.

4. In view thereof, and in order to streamline further hearing, it is directed that Mr. Ashok Aggarwal shall act as the Nodal Counsel for the



Petitioners and shall coordinate the filing of a common compilation of submissions on behalf of the Petitioners. The common compilation shall not exceed five pages. In addition, each Petitioner may file a separate note, not exceeding one page, setting out the specific factual features of the individual case. For purposes of coordination, Mr. Aggarwal may be contacted by the counsel on record at 011-35554905 and 9891403206.

5. For the respondent schools, Mr. Kamal Gupta shall act as the Nodal Counsel and shall similarly coordinate a common compilation of submissions on their behalf. For the Government, Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan shall act as the Nodal Counsel.

6. Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Mr. Kamal Gupta, and Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan shall also jointly prepare a proposed formulation of issues that arise for adjudication in this batch, with the assistance of other counsel on record. The object is to ensure that, on the next date, the hearing may proceed on clearly identified questions rather than through repetition across individual matters.

7. Pleadings, wherever incomplete, shall be completed within the timelines already fixed, and the same shall be adhered to strictly. Registry will accept the filings up to one week before the next date of hearing. Any party seeking to rely upon additional documents shall also ensure that the same are brought on record before the next date of hearing in a properly indexed compilation.

8. Renotify on 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2026 at 02:30 PM.

**SANJEEV NARULA, J**

**MARCH 10, 2026/as**