



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 403/2017**
SHRI RISHI RAJ

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rajender Agarwal,
Mr. Anoop Kumar, Advocates

versus

SAREGAMA INDIA LTD.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Shashwat Rakshit, Advocate

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER
07.04.2025

%

1. The matter is at the stage of leading defence evidence. On the last date of hearing, plaintiff's evidence was concluded and six weeks were granted to file affidavit/s in evidence of the defence witnesses. Ld. Counsel for the defendant however seeks some more time to file the affidavit/s on the ground that defendant seeks to rely on certain documents which are agreements between the original producers and the defendant, and that their office is in the process of coordinating for the purpose of securing those documents.
2. Ld. Counsel for the defendant further submits that affidavits shall be filed within three weeks. Copy of the affidavit/s be positively supplied to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff before being filed. The same should also be filed physically.
3. The request for adjournment has been opposed on the ground that sufficient time of six weeks had been granted to the defendant and even post that, four more weeks have been taken by the defendant. In view of the submission, it is made clear that



if the affidavit/s are not filed within four weeks, cost of Rs. 20,000/- shall be imposed with the likelihood of right to lead evidence being closed.

4. Put up again for DE on 28.07.2025.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 7, 2025/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any