



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4966/2017 & CM APPL. 21438/2017**

USHA GOLANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta, Advocate.

versus

SHIVAJI COLLEGE & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. A Mariarputham, Senior Advocate with Mr. Anurag Dayal Mathur, Advocates for R-1 to R-3.
Ms. Manisha Singh, Advocate for University of Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
16.02.2026

%

1. On 30th May, 2017, while issuing notice, the Respondents were directed to produce the complete record of the inquiry proceedings.
2. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that, notwithstanding the above direction, the Petitioner has herself placed on record documents running into approximately 500 pages, under Index dated 18th March, 2025 and additional documents on 16th November, 2025. It is stated that all the said documents form part of the inquiry proceedings.
3. Mr. Anurag Dayal Mathur, counsel appearing for Respondents No. 1 to 3, submits that copies of the said documents have not been supplied to the them and therefore he is presently unable to verify whether the same form part of the inquiry record. He submits that, subject to verification from the



original record, he would have no objection if the documents were indeed part of the inquiry proceedings. To obviate any controversy, he further states that Respondents No. 1 to 3 shall, in any event, produce the inquiry record.

4. The Petitioner shall supply complete copies of the aforesaid documents to all Respondents within one week from today.

5. At request of the parties, and as already directed *vide* order dated 8th May, 2025, liberty is granted to file a brief written note of submissions, not exceeding three pages, along with relevant case law(s), if any, at least one week before the next date of hearing.

6. Renotify on 11th May, 2026.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2026

as