



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 510/2015**

RAJESH SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: In person.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anil Bhat and Mr. Vivek P.
Gupta, Advs. for Respondent No. 2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

30.08.2024

%

1. The Petitioner appears in person and requests for an accommodation since his Counsel is not available.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent fairly does not oppose the request. However, he submits that the Award was passed pursuant to an order of transfer of the Petitioner in the year 1999, which culminated in an Award dated 30.08.2008. This Award was challenged belatedly in the year 2015 by the Petitioner. Thus, it is contended that the Petitioner will also require to make submissions on the aspect of delay and latches.
3. Both parties have filed their Written Synopsis in the matter.
4. Let the Judgments in support of their contentions be also filed by the parties at least one week before the next date of hearing. All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.



5. List on 11.12.2024.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

AUGUST 30, 2024/SA

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)