



\$~17& 18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.A. 952/2018
SANJAY @ BHIM

.....Appellant

Through: Present in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for the
State with SI Pawan Kr., P.S. Prashant
Vihar.

18

+ CRL.A. 1083/2018
SARVESH KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Present in person.

versus

STATE OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for the
State with SI Pawan Kr., P.S. Prashant
Vihar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER
30.04.2026

%

1. Both the appellants are present in person and they have been



identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor as per instructions received from the officer on duty.

2. Both the appellants submit that, as they have already served the period of imprisonment, they do not want to further prosecute the appeal.

3. This Court, through the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, have asked the appellants as to whether they have submitted so after fully understanding the consequences of their submission. Both the appellants reiterated that they do not want to prosecute the appeal.

4. In such circumstances, the impugned judgment is confirmed.

5. The file be consigned to records.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

APRIL 30, 2026/mj