



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8806/2016

M/S ANSAL BUILDWELL LTD.Petitioner

Through: Mr. S. P. Arora, Mr. Rajiv
Arora, Advs.(VC)

versus

ASSISTANT P.F. COMMISSIONER, DELHI NORTH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satpal Singh, Adv. EPFO

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

07.08.2025

%

CM APPL. 48257/2023 (Delay)

1. The present application has been filed by the respondent seeking condonation of delay of 300 days in filing the rejoinder to the application being CM APPL. No. 15930/2022.
2. Reply to this application has not filed by the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel or the petitioner submits that he has no objection if the delay is condoned.
4. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the no objection on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, the delay of 300 days in filing the rejoinder to the application being CM APPL. No.15930/2022 is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.
6. Let the rejoinder be taken on the record.



W.P.(C) 8806/2016, CM APPL. 15930/2022 (vacation of stay)

7. List the matter along with the captioned application for final arguments on 9th January, 2026.
8. In the meantime, both the parties are directed to file brief written synopsis along with convenience compilation, if any, within four weeks, with copies to the other side.

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

AUGUST 7, 2025

Pallavi/sm