



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4590/2017 & CM APPL. 20042/2017 (*for interim orders*)

ANITA KATHURIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Mr. Harpreet Singh and Mr. Pranjal Saran, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (DDA)

& ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhanesh Relan, SC for DDA with Ms. Komal Sorout and Ms. Mrinalini Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **23.01.2019**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is incapacitated from filing a rejoinder to the counter affidavit of the respondents, as, in order to do so, it would be necessary for him to have a legible copy of the map, at page no. 46 of the writ petition, which, according the learned counsel for the petitioner, was supplied to his client by the respondent-DDA.

2. It appears, *prima facie*, that the document at page no. 46 is, in fact, illegible and, if, the DDA actually supplied such an illegible copy to the petitioner, it would be required to supply a legible copy to him.

3. Mr. Relan submits that he would take instructions on this aspect, and ensure that, in case the document at page no. 46 was



actually supplied by the DDA to the petitioner, a legible copy thereof would be made available.

4. Renotify on 27th February, 2019.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

JANUARY 23, 2019

bh