



14# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 972/2016**

PRAVEEN YADAV

..... Appellant

Represented by: Mr. Kundan Kumar, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for State with SI Vijay Singh,
PS Prashant Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

03.05.2018

Crl. M.B. No.50/2018 (suspension of sentence)

1. Appellant has been convicted for offence punishable under Sections 307/34 IPC and awarded sentence for imprisonment for a period of five years. Though the appellant has undergone sentence of nearly three years nine month, in view of the antecedents of the appellant as reflected in the nominal roll, which shows that the appellant is involved in nine other cases and the co-convict has already jumped the interim bail granted to him in other case, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for suspension of sentence.

2. Application is dismissed.

CRL.A. 972/2016

List in due course along with Crl. Appeal No. 207/2017

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MAY 03, 2018/‘vn’