



\$~47 to 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 961/2016

RANDHIRAppellant

Through: None
versus

STATERespondent

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State

+ CRL.A. 35/2017

ASHIYAAppellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Advocate
versus

STATERespondent

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State

+ CRL.A. 44/2017

SANJEEDA @ SAVITRIAppellant

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kansal, Advocate
versus

STATERespondent

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

17.10.2025

%

1. Mr. Saurabh Kansal, Advocate while appearing for learned counsel for appellant/*Sanjeeda @ Savitri* submits that on the last date of hearing inadvertently his presence was noted for the appellant/*Ashiya*.

2. Mr.Bajaj, Advocate submits that he is representing the appellant/*Ashiya*.



3. None for the appellant/*Randhir*. The record reveals that the appellant/*Randhir* has been represented through his own counsel. Let court notice be issued to the appellant/*Randhir* as well as his counsel returnable on 03.12.2025. Additionally, the I.O. will also inform the appellant/*Randhir* of the next date of hearing. The nominal roll of the appellant/*Randhir* has been handed over which is taken on record.

4. Learned APP submits that the appellant/*Ashiya* was informed, however, she is neither present nor has approached her counsel. The I.O. will inform appellants of the next date of hearing and provide the numbers of their counsels respectively.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025

na