



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4767/2017**

PROF. DR. RASHMI BHARDWAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Pushti Gupta and Mr. Joney,
Advocates.

versus

**VICE CHANCELLOR, GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA
UNIVERSITY & ORS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jasbir Bidhuri, Advocate for R-1
and R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

06.03.2024

%

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)

CM APPL. 30221/2022 (for delay of 17 days in filing the affidavit)

1. Mr. Bidhuri, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submits that in pursuance of the order dated 19.04.2022, the copies of the original documents have been filed, however, since there was a delay an application bearing CM APPL. 30221/2022 was filed and is pending.

2. For the reasons stated therein, the application is allowed. The delay of 17 days in filing the affidavit is condoned.

3. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 4767/2017

4. The documents are taken on record.



5. After some arguments, Ms. Pushti Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks a short accommodation to place on record the judgment in support of her contentions that the Clause 11.1.6 sub-Clauses 'a' and 'b' of Statute 31 of the respondent/ University, would not be an impediment in the petitioner seeking counting of previous/ past services as a qualifying service for the CAS entitlement.

6. Mr. Bidhuri, learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits by referring to the letter dated 03.02.2012 at page No. 157 of the petition that sub-Clauses 'a' and 'b' of the Clause 11.1.6 are themselves not agreed to by the DU itself of her entitlement that she is seeking.

7. That apart, he submits that there is a delay of 17 years in approaching this Court.

8. Be that as it may, the petitioner is permitted to place on record the judgments within four weeks from today.

9. List the matter again on 07.08.2024.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MARCH 6, 2024/nd