



\$~15 to 22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 852/2018, CM APPL. 4392/2019 & CM APPL. 13571/2019**
SURTE (DECEASED) THR LRS & ANRAppellants

versus

SANJAY GUPTARespondent

16

+ **RFA 1006/2018 & CM APPL. 10508/2019**
IQBAL SINGH & ORSAppellants

versus

SANJAY GUPTARespondent

17

+ **RFA 875/2018, CM APPL. 4399/2019 & CM APPL. 13569/2019**
BALRAM (DECAEASED) THR LRSAppellant

versus

SANJAY GUPTARespondent

18

+ **RFA 927/2018, CM APPL. 4395/2019, CM APPL. 13574/2019,**
CM APPL. 5807/2022 & CM APPL. 5809/2022
SIS RAM (DECEASED) & ANRAppellants

versus

SANJAY GUPTARespondent

19

+ **RFA 319/2019**
SANJAY GUPTAAppellant

versus

CHANDRO (DECEASED) THR HER LRSRespondent



20

+

RFA 35/2019 & CM APPL. 40008/2019

SANJAY GUPTA

.....Appellant

versus

LATE AMAR SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS

.....Respondent

21

+

RFA 776/2019, CM APPL. 38564/2019 & CM APPL. 38567/2019

BARFO (DECEASED) THR LRS

.....Appellant

versus

SANJAY GUPTA & ORS

.....Respondents

22

+

RFA 83/2019, CM APPL. 4598/2019, CM APPL. 4599/2019 & CM APPL. 50518/2019

SANJAY GUPTA

.....Appellant

versus

MAHAVIR SINGH

.....Respondent

Appearance:-

Mr. Manish Vashisht, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sameer Vashisht, Mr. Rikky Gupta, Ms. Ananya Singh, Ms. Ananya Singh & Ms. Moushmi Mishra Gahlot, Advocates for Sanjay Gupta in Item Nos. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 & 20. [M:-9855011942].

Mr. B.S. Bartwal, Advocate for appellant in Item No.15 to 18 and for respondent in Item No.20.

Mr. Mohit Bhardwaj, Advocates for R-2, 3, 4 & 6 in Item No. 20.

Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, ASC with Mr. Arman Monga, Advocate for MCD in Item No. 18.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

%

ORDER

04.10.2024



1. These appeals all concern various parcels of land in Village Bijwasan. The suits were between various land owners and Mr. Sanjay Gupta, who is a common party in all the appeals, in some as the appellant and in some as the respondent.
2. Mr. B.S. Bartwal, learned counsel, appears on video conference and states that he is appearing for the appellants in RFA 852/2018, RFA 1006/2018, RFA 875/2018 and RFA 927/2018, and for the respondent in RFA 35/2019. An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent in RFA 319/2019, on the ground that learned counsel is indisposed. Mr. Sameer Vashisht, learned counsel for Sanjay Gupta, states that in RFA 83/2019, the respondent has been served by substituted service, and has not entered appearance since.
3. The appellants in RFA 776/2019 are not represented. Mr. Vashisht is requested to issue notice in writing to the counsel on record for the said parties so that they are effectively represented on the next date of hearing.
4. List the appeals in the category “*for hearing matters*” on 09.01.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 4, 2024

“pv”/