



\$~7 to 16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. 14/2016

CHANDRAVATI SHARMA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 15/2016

CHANDRAVATI SHARMA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 16/2016 & I.A. 10792/2018

CHANDRAVATI SHARMA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 17/2016

VINOD SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.



versus

NEELMANI SHARMA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 18/2016

SMT. CHANDRAVATI SHARMA & ANRPetitioners
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 21/2016 & I.A. 6845/2016

NEELMANI SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

versus

VINOD SHARMA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

+ O.M.P. (COMM) 276/2016 & I.A. 12703/2016

NEELMANI SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

versus

CHANDRAVATI SHARMA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

+ O.M.P. 25/2018 & I.A. 15389/2018

NEELMANI SHARMAPetitioner



Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

versus

M/S PANDIT MUNSHI RAM & ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD. &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

+ O.M.P.(I) 17/2018, I.A. 14047/2018 & I.A. 14048/2018
VINOD SHARMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMARespondent

Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

+ O.M.P.(I) 18/2018, I.A. 14049/2018 & I.A. 14050/2018
VINOD SHARMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate.

versus

NEELMANI SHARMARespondent

Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh,
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **09.08.2024**

1. This Court has already, on more than one earlier occasion, noted that, given the nature of the dispute, one possible way out would be to set aside the order under challenge and refer the disputes for *de*



novo arbitration.

2. Mr. Gaurav Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents in OMP 14/2016 has no objection. However, Mr. Vipul Kumar, who appears for the petitioners, seeks some time to take instructions.

3. Renotify on 23 August 2024.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

AUGUST 9, 2024

mk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any