



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 1437/2015, I.A. 10698/2015(O-XXXIX R-1 & 2 of CPC),
I.A. 10699/2015(O-II R-2 of CPC), I.A. 10700/2015(O-XI R-12 of
CPC) and I.A. 10133/2018(O-XXII R-4 of CPC)

RAVINDER KAUR

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Surjeet Singh Malhotra,
Advocate.

versus

JAGMOHAN SINGH & ORS

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Rupak Srivastava, Advocate for
D-1.
Mr.Prateek Kr. Srivastava, Advocate
for D-2,3 and 6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

01.09.2022

I.A. 6635/2022(O-XXXIX R-2A of CPC)

1. Counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant no.1 admits that a shop is being run in the stilt area of the property bearing no. E-350 Greater Kailash-2, New Delhi-110048. However, he submits that the shop is being run by a Caretaker, who was permitted to run the shop by the father of the defendant no.1, late Sh. Preet Singh.
2. The stand taken in the reply filed to the present application is that there is no shop being run in the stilt area of the Greater Kailash- 2, New Delhi-110048 and the stilt area is being used by the defendant no.1 as a store



house. It has been stated in the reply that the caretaker has been permitted to stay in the stilt area.

3. There are clear contradictions in the stands by the defendant no.1. On a *prima facie* view, the defendant no.1 is guilty of disobedience of the order dated 19th May, 2015 passed by this Court having committed contempt.

4. The defendant no.1 is directed to forthwith stop the running of the shop within two weeks from today, failing which the defendant no.1 shall be present in person in the Court on the next date of hearing.

5. List on 21st September, 2022.

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 1, 2022

sr