



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **MAC.APP. 1168/2014 & CM APPL. 21071/2014**  
**BEENA MALIK** .....Appellant

Through: Mr. Kamal Deep, Adv.

versus

**RAJBIR GOSWAMI & ORS (UNITED INDIA INS CO LTD)**  
.....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Pankaj Seth, Adv. for Insurance  
Company.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

% **29.01.2026**

1. No one had appeared for respondent no.3/Insurance Company previously.
2. Counsel for the appellant/claimant, who is seeking enhancement of the compensation awarded, bases his case essentially on the computation of the benchmark income, which was taken at Rs. 2,00,196/- minus Rs. 3,018/- (tax), amounting to Rs. 1,97,178/- per annum.
3. He submits that Income Tax Returns for the Assessment Years 2009-10, 2011-12, and 2012-13 were filed and exhibited as **Ex. PW-5/2** in the claim petition, and on that basis, it was contended that the income was Rs. 4,63,590/- per annum, as reflected in the Income Tax Return for the Assessment Year 2012-13.
4. The MACT, however, assessed the income on the basis of the



Assessment Year 2011-12, which was, as per the counsel, unsustainable.

5. On this limited issue, *Mr. Pankaj Seth*, counsel appearing for the Insurance Company, shall take instructions.
6. List on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2026.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**JANUARY 29, 2026/MK**