



\$~16 to 21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 914/2013, CRL.M.A. 8758/2016

SRI KANT CHAWLAAppellant

Through: Mr.Puneet Mittal, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Sakshi Mehndiratta, Mr.R.P.Singh
and Mr.Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

C.B.I.Respondent

Through: None.

17

+ CRL.A. 915/2013

SRI KANT CHAWLAAppellant

Through: Mr.Puneet Mittal, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Sakshi Mehndiratta, Mr.R.P.Singh
and Mr.Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

C.B.I.Respondent

Through: Ms.Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI
with Ms.Manavi Dhingra, Adv.

18

+ CRL.A. 917/2013, CRL.M.(BAIL) 415/2015

SRI KANT CHAWLAAppellant

Through: Mr.Puneet Mittal, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Sakshi Mehndiratta, Mr.R.P.Singh
and Mr.Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

C.B.I.Respondent

Through: Ms.Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI
with Ms.Manavi Dhingra, Adv.



19

+ CRL.A. 616/2014

RAKESH KUMAR VIJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Murari Kumar and Mr.Shiv
Shankar, Advs.

versus

THE STATE

.....Respondent

Through:

20

+ CRL.A. 1639/2014

SRI KANT CHAWLA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Puneet Mittal, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Sakshi Mehndiratta, Mr.R.P.Singh
and Mr.Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

C B I

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Kamal Kant Goel, SPP for CBI
with Ms.Jyoti Goel, Adv.

21

+ CRL.A. 409/2018

VIRENDER KUMAR SYAL

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Atul K.Bandhu, Ms.Deepika Jain,
Mr.Rishabh and Mr.Ritik, Advs.

Versu s

STATE (C B I)

.....Respondent

Through:



**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV**

**ORDER
13.05.2026**

%

1. Hybrid mode.
2. Learned Sr.Advocate appearing on behalf of the Appellant (in CrI.1639/2014) submits that the Registry did not provide the bookmarked e-TCR to the Appellant, despite the fact that specific directions to that effect were given on the last date of hearing.
3. It is once again impressed upon and clarified that Registry is directed to provide the soft copy of e-TCR (bookmarked and paginated) to the contesting sides, including the Appellant.
4. List on 20.08.2026.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

MAY 13, 2026/bj