



\$~7

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 4203/2017

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Mr. Sanjiv Kr. Saxena, Mr. Mukesh Kr. Tiwari, Ms. Poonam Shukla, Ms. Reba Jena Mishra, Advs.

versus

SADHANA TRIPATHI & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Padma Kumar S., Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

21.02.2024

%

1. We find that as recorded in the order dated 10.02.2023, after the notification of New Recruitment Rules in 2018, the respondent no.2 has no surviving grievance. We also find that the right of respondent nos.1, 3 & 4 to file a counter affidavit stands closed and none has been appearing on their behalf.
2. However, taking into account the nature of dispute arising in the matter, we are only of the view that it would be appropriate that the matter is heard only after considering the stand of the respondent nos.1 & 3, who are stated to be still working in the office of the petitioner. Hearing is, therefore, deferred with liberty to serve *dasti* service on respondent nos.1 & 3.
3. List on 30.07.2024.

REKHA PALLI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2024/al