



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 8054/2016**
NEELAM SAHNI

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given

versus

LT. GOVERNOR (ADMINISTRATOR) AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Nandana Menon, Adv.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT DALAL

ORDER

16.03.2026

%

1. As per the office note, the petitioner remains unserved with the report “no such person at the given address,” whereas Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 stand served.
2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 3 draws the attention of the Court to the order dated 19.09.2016, whereby the present proceedings were adjourned sine die on the ground that the petitioner was seeking payment of retiring dues in terms of Rule 121 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973, and the issue with regard to the interpretation of Rule 121 was pending consideration before the Hon’ble Supreme Court.
3. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 3 submits that the said proceedings before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, in which the



interpretation of Rule 121 was under consideration, have since been disposed of. She further submits that the matter has already been settled between the petitioner and Respondent No. 3 and, therefore, there is no requirement for revival of the present proceedings.

4. In view of the submissions made, list the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on 23.03.2026.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 16, 2026/da