



\$~21 to 30.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 406/2012**

KARAMVIR SINGH NAGAR & ORS

..... Petitioner

versus

G S PATNAIK DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS

..... Respondent

+ **W.P.(C) 646/2012 and CM APPL. 1388/2012, 3091/2012, 4714/2013, 14927/2013, 9139/2014, 16362/2014, 16457/2014, 16561/2014, 8789/2015, 27632/2015, 27633/2015, 27634/2015, 27635/2015, 1618/2016, 24799/2016, 25920/2016, 28002/2016, 28003/2016, 33894/2016, 34971/2016, 36569/2016, 46383/2016, 48009/2016, 48012/2016, 48013/2016, 1686/2017, 10928/2017, 22181/2017, 29114/2017, 29115/2017, 13190/2018, 14485/2018, 17048/2018, 20467/2018, 27025/2018, 45234/2018 & 24085/2019**

KARAMVIR SINGH NAGAR AND ANR.

..... Petitioner

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS. Respondent

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 820/2012 and CM APPL. 3241/2013, 10077/2013, 15351/2013, 17393/2013, 17394/2013, 244/2014**

ANJUMAN-E-HAIDER (REGD.)

..... Petitioner

versus

CHAIRMAN NDMC, ARCHNA ARORA & ORS..... Respondent

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 862/2013**

ANJUMAN -E- HAIDERI (REGD) DELHI

..... Petitioner

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE DELHI MR B S BASSI & ORS



- Respondent
- + CONT.CAS(C) 921/2013
ANJUMAN-E-HAIDERI (REGD) DELHI Petitioner
- versus
- JALAJ SRIVASTAVA CHAIRMAN NDMC Respondent
- + CONT.CAS(C) 824/2014 and CM APPL. 19188/2014
KARAMVIR SINGH NAGAR Petitioner
- versus
- BAHADUR ABBAS NAQVI Respondent
- + CONT.CAS(C) 900/2015 and CM APPL. 10220/2016
ANJUMAN-E-HAIDERI (REGD) DELHI Petitioner
- versus
- NARESH KUMAR & ORS Respondent
- + CONT.CAS(C) 867/2016
DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Petitioner
- versus
- SYED BAHADUR ABBAS NAQVI SECY ANJUMAN-E-HAIDERI
& ORS Respondent
- + CONT.CAS(C) 239/2017
DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Petitioner
- versus
- SYED BAHADUR ABBAS NAQVI, SECY ANJUMAN-E-
HAIDERI & ORS Respondent
- + W.P.(C) 880/2013 and CM APPL. 1673/2013
SHRI SUBHASH CHANDER MAINI Petitioner
- versus



D.D.A. AND ANR

..... Respondent

Memo of Appearance:

For the Petitioners

Ms. Tasneem Ahmad with Ms. Mahima Rathi, Advs. in item Nos. 23,24,25,27.

Ms. Shikha Khurana, Adv. in item No. 30

For the Respondents.

Mr. Vivek Goyal, CGSC with Mr. Gokul Sharma, Advs. in item Nos. 22,27,29 and 30.

Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi and Ms. Mahima Rathi, Advs in item Nos. 28 and 29.

Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi with Ms. Mahima Rathi, Advs. for Respondent No.6 in item No.22.

Mr. Arun Birbal with Mr. Sanjay Singh, Adv for DDA in item Nos. 22 and 29.

Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, SC, Civil, GNCTD with Mr. Arun Panwar and Ms. Mahak Rankawat, Adv for Delhi Police in item nos. 21,22.

Mr. Saransh Gupta, Adv with SI Karan Singh, PS, Lodhi Colony, for Respondent No.4 in item No. 22, for Respondent No.4 in item No.21, for Respondent No.1-3 in item No.24, for Respondent No.4 in item No.27.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

15.11.2022

%

It has been informed to this Court that the other identical matter i.e. W.P.(C) No. 6843/2013 is listed on 15.02.2023 and the present matter be also listed with the same.

Accordingly, list the matters along with W.P.(C) No.6843/2013 on



15.02.2023.

Learned Counsel for the DDA submits that in spite of status quo orders, construction activity is going on. Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 6, Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi has categorically stated that no fresh construction is going on.

In the light of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed. The status quo order shall continue to operate.

SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA, CJ

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 15, 2022
N.Khanna