



\$~10.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3841/2017 & CMs 16860/2017, 40336/2018 and 35620/2019

DELHI SUBORDINATE SERVICE
SELECTION BOARD (DSSSB)

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Avnish Ahlawat, SC GNCTD
(Services) with Mr. N.K.Singh, Ms.
Laveena Arora, Advocates.

versus

SUNITA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nidesh Gupta, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Tarun Gupta, Adv. for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

08.08.2019

Mr. Gupta submits that so far as the National Capital Territory of Delhi is concerned, Pan India Reservation Rules applies since it is in accord with the Constitutional Scheme relating to services under the Union and the States/Union Territories. In support of his submission, he places reliance on the majority decisions of the Supreme Court in **Bir Singh Vs. Delhi Jal Board and Ors** (2018) 10 SCC 312, and in particular, on the following extracts:

“Subordinate service in the National Capital Territory of Delhi are, therefore, clearly Central Civil Services. The affidavit of the Union of India also points out this feature by stating that,

“The posts in CCS Group C are in the subordinate



services. The equivalent in the Union Territory of Delhi is the Delhi Administrative Subordinate Services (DASS) and the recruiting agency in the place of Staff Selection Commission is the Delhi Subordinate Service Selection Board (DSSSB). Members of the Delhi Administrative Subordinate Services are the feeder cadre for Central Civil Services Group B (DANICS). It is for these reasons that the policy (of Pan India eligibility) is consistently adopted.”

Mrs. Ahlawat, Advocate wishes to advance her submission in the light of the aforesaid argument of Mr. Gupta.

List on 26.9.2019.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

AUGUST 08, 2019

ib/jitender