



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 3644/2014**

BHUMITRA JINDAL & ANR Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Surya Rajappan, Advocate

versus

BRAHAM TEJ MITTAL & ANR Defendant

Through: Mr. Puneet Singh Bindra, Mr. Aslam
Ahmed & Mr. Sharad Kharra,
Advocates for D-1.
Mr. Arvind Dua & Mr. Rajesh Dua,
Advs. for D-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

15.02.2017

IA No. 23761/2014 (Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC) moved by the plaintiffs

Heard. The interim order dated 01.12.2014 is made absolute, with the consent of all sides, for the pendency of the suit, giving liberty to all parties to approach the Court for the said order to be varied in case the need for coming with such prayer arises.

The application stands disposed of.

IA No. 15645/2016 (Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC) moved by the plaintiffs

Issue notice. The counsel for the defendants accept notice. Replies may be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder, within two weeks thereafter. Copy of the application shall be served on the learned counsel during the course of the day, as undertaken.

CS(OS) 3644/2014

page 1 of 2



CS (OS)3644/2014

Heard for some time. The learned senior counsel appearing for the plaintiffs argued that on the basis of averments made in the written statement and having regard to the nature of documents relied upon in support no issues arise for the matter to be put to trial and the suit deserves to be decreed under Order 12 Rule 6 CPC.

The case is listed for hearing on this aspect at length on 25th July, 2017.

R.K.GAUBA, J.

FEBRUARY 15, 2017

nk

CS(OS) 3644/2014

page 2 of 2