



2025:DHC:8098

\$~16

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 3644/2014 & I.A. 6706/2024**

**BHUMITRA JINDAL & ANR** .....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Deepak Tyagi, Ms. Praveena  
Gautam, Mr. Pawan Shukla and Ms.  
Akanksha Tyagi, Advs.

versus

**BRAHAM TEJ MITTAL & ANR** .....Defendants

Through: Mr. Arvind Kumar Dua, Adv. for D-2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**07.11.2024**

%

1. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant no.2 submits that cost of Rs. 25,000/- imposed by this Court *vide* order dated 22.04.2024 has been deposited.

2. He further submits that the order dated 20.08.2024 records that the defendant no.2 only want to examine two more witnesses, one from the Income Tax Department and another from Andhra Bank. However, now the defendant no.2 is confining the summoning of the witnesses only to one witness *viz.* Income Tax Department and is giving up another witness from Andhra Bank. The statement is taken on record.

3. He submits that steps have already been taken to summon the witness from the Income Tax Department, in as much as application for the said purpose was filed, however, the same is lying under objection.



2025:DHC:8098

4. He prays for an adjournment to enable him to get his applications for summoning the witness from Income Tax Department listed before the learned Joint Registrar.
5. In view of the above, let the counsel for defendant no.2 pursue with the Registry and get the application listed within three weeks.
6. It is made clear that in case no steps are taken by the defendant no. 2 within three weeks, the evidence of defendant no.2 will stand closed without any further order in that behalf.
7. List before Court on 05.03.2025.

**VIKAS MAHAJAN, J**

**NOVEMBER 7, 2024**

**N.S. ASWAL**