



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 3644/2014**

BHUMITRA JINDAL & ANR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pramod Dayal & Mr. Rakesh
Kumar, Advocates (Mob. No.
9810140004).

versus

BRAHAM TEJ MITTAL & ANR

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Prabhat Mandal, Adv. for D-2.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER
16.12.2022

%

**I.A. No.18531/2022 (by plaintiffs u/O XVIII Rule 4 r/w
Section 4 CPC for striking off certain paras of evidence
affidavits of the defendant no.2)**

1. As per office noting for today, nothing fresh has been filed. Office noting for 23.11.2022 would show that PF was returned under objection.
2. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.2 accepts notice of the captioned IA and seeks time to file reply to the same. He further submits that main counsel for defendant no.2 is not stated to be well today.
3. The defendant no.2 may file reply to the captioned IA within two weeks with advance copy to the plaintiff, who may file rejoinder within one week thereafter.
4. Re-notify the captioned IA for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **01st March, 2023**.

CS(OS) 3644/2014



1. It is submitted that evidence in the present matter is being recorded by Ld. Local Commissioner.
2. At joint request, re-notify the present matter for further proceedings on **01st March, 2023**.

DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
DECEMBER 16, 2022/v
[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)