



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 3644/2014**

BHUMITRA JINDAL & ANR

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Mr.Sudhir Nandrajog, Sr.Advocate
with Mr.Rakesh Kumar, Mr.Pramod
Dayal and Mr.Pawan Shukla,
Advocates.

versus

BRAHAM TEJ MITTAL & ANR

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Sanjay Sethi, Advocate for D-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

22.09.2022

%

1. The matter has been listed pursuant to the order dated 17th September, 2022 of the Local Commissioner appointed in this case for recording of evidence. The Local Commissioner has stated in the said order that evidence of the plaintiffs and defendant no.1 has been completed, yet no evidence affidavit has been filed on behalf of the defendant no.2 and repeated adjournments have been sought on behalf of the counsel for the defendant no.2.
2. Counsel appears on behalf of the defendant no.2 and submits that adjournments have been sought because the main counsel has met with an accident and is bed-ridden.
3. Be that as it may, last opportunity of four weeks is given to the defendant no.2 to place his evidence affidavit on record, failing which his right to file the same shall stand closed.



4. List before the Local Commissioner on 9th November, 2022 at 02.00PM.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2022
sr

AMIT BANSAL, J.