



\$~C-26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CO.PET. 704/2014 & CA Nos.2651/2014, 1037/2016, 1631/2016
SH. AMARPREET SINGH OBEROI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through : Mr.Kailash Vasudev, Sr Advocate
with Mr.Shreyans Singhvi and
Ms.Ekta, Advocates for applicant in
CA No.2089/2016.

versus

M/S A. N. BUILDWELL PVT. LTD.

..... Respondent

Through : Mr.Kunal Sharma, Advocate Official
Liquidator.
Mr.Sunil Gandhi and Ms.Yeshi
Rinchhen, Advocates for shareholders
of respondent company.
Mr.Chandra Gupta, Advocate for
applicant.
Mr.Rajiv Kapur and Ms.Pallavi,
Advocates for CA Nos.2365 and
5106/2011.
Mr.Vivek, Advocate for applicant in
CA No.261/2017.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGESH KHANNA

ORDER

%

11.10.2017

On 15.09.2017 following order was passed in CA
No.2089/2016:-

*“This application is moved by the petitioner
to direct the OL to deliver possession of the
premises No.504, 5th Floor, Bhikaji Cama Bhawan,
New Delhi. The owner/landlord of the premises*



had given it on rent to the respondent company in liquidation in the year 2013. The respondent company has failed to pay rent and maintenance charges @ Rs.1,20,000/- p.m. The premises have since been sealed by the OL on 06.04.2016 and it is alleged that the moveable articles of the respondent company are lying in the premises and as such the inventory need to be prepared and moveable articles need to be valued. The counsel for ex-directors is present and accepts notice. She requests time to file reply. The reply though be filed within two weeks from today, but in the meanwhile the OL as well as the representatives of the owner/landlord of the premises to visit the premises on 22nd September, 2017 at 2 p.m. so that an inventory of the goods be prepared and if possible the goods/articles lying in the preemies may be shifted in some cabins in the said premises. The valuer may also accompany them to value the moveable articles lying therein and file a report before the next date.

The premises may be de-sealed for the aforesaid purpose and be re-sealed thereafter. Order dasti.

The learned counsel for the Official Liquidator says though they had inspected the premises, but inventory could not be prepared as there was no electricity. Though the ex-directors who have propounded a revival scheme have no objection if the premises is released to the applicant, but admittedly there are propounder(s) of yet another scheme so this application be kept for disposal on 27.10.2017.

In any case, since the inventory is not prepared, the same be prepared by the Official Liquidator on visiting the premises on



14.10.2017 at 11.00AM and in case they intend to dispose of the articles / assets, of the company in liquidation the steps be taken for valuation of the property lying therein.

List on 27.10.2017, the date already fixed.

YOGESH KHANNA, J

OCTOBER 11, 2017

M