



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3921/2017**

AAM AADMI PARTY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atik Gill, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Bhagvan Swarup Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Sarvan Kumar, Advocate for
R-1.

Ms. Arti Bansal, SPC with Ms. Shruti
Goel, Advocate.

Mr. Aman Panwar and Mr. Anuj
Sehrawat, Advocates for R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

14.01.2025

%

1. The present Petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

“(i) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the Report/Order dated 16.09.2016 of the Committee on Content Regulation of Government Advertising, Government of India/Respondent No.1;

(ii) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the Notice/Demand dated 30.03.2017 issued by the Department of Information and Publicity, GNCTD /Respondent No.2;

(iii) Issue a writ of prohibition, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction prohibiting/restraining the Respondents from taking any action and/or steps pursuant to the Notice/demand dated 30.03.2017;

(iv) Issue a writ: of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the Report/Order dated 11.11.2016 of the Committee on Content Regulation of Government Advertising, Government of India/Respondent No.1;

(v) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the Order dated 06.04.2016 of the Respondent No.1;



(vi) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the communication/order dated 09.08.2016 of the Respondent No.1;

(vii) Pass such other orders, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case."

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue as obtains in the present Petition also obtains in a matter pending before the Supreme Court captioned ***Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India*** in Miscellaneous Application No.505/2017 in W.P.(C) 197/2004. He further submits that the decision in the Miscellaneous Application before the Supreme Court will also affect the present petition.
3. The record shows that notice was issued on 08.05.2017 and several adjournments had been taken by the parties on account of pendency of the matter before the Supreme Court.
4. In view of the fact that the Supreme Court is seized of the matter, the continuance of the Petition before this Court is an exercise in redundancy.
5. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner requests for some time to take instructions.
6. At request, list on 28.02.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 14, 2025/pa

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)