



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 927/2016

RAKESH

..... Appellant

Represented by: Mr. Triloki Pandit, Adv.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Raj Malik PS Vijay Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

06.01.2017

%

CrI.M.B. 1766/2016

Perused the record and the statement of the prosecutrix. For the reason prima facie that there appears to be no coercion by the appellant while the prosecutrix was taken to Ahmedabad where both of them stayed for almost two months, the fact that the appellant has undergone nearly more than 1 year 6 months imprisonment, out of the sentence of four years imprisonment awarded, and also that the hearing of the appeal likely to take some time, this Court deems it fit to suspend the sentence of the appellant pending hearing of the appeal.

Consequently, the sentence of the appellant is suspended on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, further

CRL.A. 927/2016

page 1 of 2



subject to the condition that he will not leave the country without the prior permission of this Court and in case of change of address the same will be intimated to this Court by way of an affidavit.

Application is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 06, 2017
‘ga’

CRL.A. 927/2016

page 2 of 2