



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1554/2014

MUKESH @ VICKY

.....Appellant

Through: Proxy Counsel on behalf of legal-aid-counsel (appearance not given).

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for the State.
SI Parveen Kumar, P.S.: Kashmeri Gate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

11.02.2025

%

At request made on behalf of the learned legal-aid-counsel appearing for the appellant, who is stated to be indisposed today, re-notify on 24th March 2025.

In the meantime, let an updated nominal roll in respect of the appellant be requisitioned from the Jail Superintendent for the next date.

In view of what was recorded in para 10 of order dated 09.12.2024, let the appellant be produced from judicial custody for the next date of hearing before this court.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent *forthwith*, for information and compliance.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2025/ak



At 02:30 PM

At this stage, Mr. Krishan Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant has joined *via* video-conferencing; and has been apprised of the order passed in the matter.

Mr. Kumar submits that the appeal raises a legal question in relation to sections 50 and 52A of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Rules, 1985.

In view of the above, re-notify on 24th March 2025, the date given above.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2025/ak