



2026.04.06.19:50

\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 140/2017

M/S U S INDUSTRIES

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Shivam Shukla, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Kumar, proxy counsel for

Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for R-1

CORAM: SH. SUNIL KUMAR KOUSHAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

11.04.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL.3773/2022 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC) & CM APPL.3774/2022 (delay)

Reply has yet not been filed by respondent No.1 despite opportunity. Mr. Rahul Kumar, proxy counsel appearing for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1 seeks some more time to file the reply. Let the same be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellant, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

As per office report, respondent No.2 remains unserved as steps were not taken by the appellant.

Page 1 of 2



On appellant's taking steps within a week, issue notice to respondent No.2, by all permissible modes, including electronic mode, returnable on 21.07.2022. It may be indicated in the notice that reply, if any, be filed before the next date.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 11, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2