



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 441/2016

SHRI AKHIL KUMAR VASHNEY & ANR

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Chhavi Luthra & Mr. Ashish
Kapur, Advs. with plaintiff no.1
in person

versus

SHRI RAJIV KUMAR & ANR.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. R. K. Sharma, Adv. for D-1
and proxy for D-2 with D-1 in
person

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

10.11.2022

CS(OS) 441/2016

The matter is listed for PE. As per office note, e-copy of one Evidence Affidavit of plaintiff no.1 Mr. Akhil Kumar Vashney is on record but hard copy of same has not been filed by the plaintiffs. So, Plaintiffs, are directed to file hard copy of Evidence Affidavit of plaintiff no.1 within two weeks.

Learned counsel for defendant no.1 for himself and proxy counsel for defendant no.2 submits that they have received e-copy of Evidence Affidavit of plaintiff no.1. It is pointed out by learned counsel for defendant no.1 that vide order dated 08.08.2022, plaintiffs were directed to file Evidence Affidavits of all the witnesses, as per list of witnesses, already filed. However, he has received only one Evidence Affidavit of plaintiff no.1.

Submissions heard. Record perused. It is found that the list of witnesses already filed by the plaintiffs is not as per the format provided by Delhi High Court Rules, 2018.



So, plaintiffs are directed to file proper list of witnesses as per Delhi High Court Rules, 2018 and Evidence Affidavit of other witnesses, if any, within three weeks with copy to opposite side.

Re-notify the matter for PE on 16.01.2023.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
NOVEMBER 10, 2022/ab
Click here to check corrigendum, if any