



\$~32 to 36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 189/2017 & I.A. 7451/2022, I.A. 16433/2022, I.A. 17820/2022, I.A. 3867/2023

MR. RAKESH KUMARPlaintiff

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats & Ms. Kumari Alka,
Advocates.

versus

BAJAJ AUTO LIMITED & ANOTHERDefendants

Through: Mr. Kamaldeep Dayal, Mr. Rishi
Agrawala, Ms. Aanchal Mullick, Mr.
Tejasvi Chaudhry and Mr. Abhishek
Anand, Advocates.

+ CS(OS) 190/2017 & CCP(O) 13/2021, I.A. 3191-3193/2021, I.A. 16431-16432/2022, I.A. 19336/2022, I.A. 4296-4297/2025

RAKESH KUMARPlaintiff

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats & Ms. Kumari Alka,
Advocates.

versus

BAJAJ AUTO LTD. AND OTHERSDefendants

Through: Mr. Kamaldeep Dayal, Mr. Rishi
Agrawala, Ms. Aanchal Mullick, Mr.
Tejasvi Chaudhry and Mr. Abhishek
Anand, Advocates.

+ CS(OS) 127/2018 & I.A. 17824/2022, I.A. 4288-4290/2025, I.A. 4305-4309/2025

RAKESH KUMARPlaintiff

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats & Ms. Kumari Alka,
Advocates.



versus

BAJAJ AUTO LTD. & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Kamaldeep Dayal, Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Ms. Aanchal Mullick, Mr. Tejasvi Chaudhry and Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocates.

+ CS(OS) 592/2018 & CCP(O) 6/2021, I.A. 16434/2022, I.A. 44152/2024, I.A. 47236/2024

BAJAJ AUTO LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kamaldeep Dayal, Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Ms. Aanchal Mullick, Mr. Tejasvi Chaudhry and Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocates.

versus

RAKESH KUMAR

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats & Ms. Kumari Alka, Advocates.

+ CS(OS) 130/2019 & I.A. 12043-12050/2020, I.A. 4298-4303/2025

RAKESH KUMAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats & Ms. Kumari Alka, Advocates.

versus

BAJAJ AUTO LTD. & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Kamaldeep Dayal, Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Ms. Aanchal Mullick, Mr. Tejasvi Chaudhry and Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD



ORDER

%

18.02.2025

I.A. 4304/2025 in CS(OS) 189/2017

I.A. 4295/2025 in CS(OS) 189/2017

1. These applications under Order XIII Rule 3 of CPC have been filed on behalf of Defendant No.1 and Defendant No.2 respectively for rejection of additional documents filed by the Plaintiff along with the examination-in-chief of PW-1.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiffs accept notice. He seeks some time to file a reply. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing.
4. Learned Joint Registrar is requested not to accept the additional documents filed by the Plaintiff for the time being during the examination-in-chief of PW-1.
5. List on 17.07.2025.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025

S. Zakir