



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 190/2017**
RAKESH KUMAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. R.K. Vats, Adv. (through VC).

versus

BAJAJ AUTO LTD. AND OTHERS

.....Defendant

Through: Ms.Aanchal Mullick & Ms.Biswabara
Dash, Advs.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER KUMAR
GARG (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
11.10.2022

I.A. 4241/2019 (u/O VI Rule 17 CPC by plaintiff)

1. Pleadings qua the captioned IA are complete.
2. Perusal of order dated 22.02.2020 would show that the captioned IA was placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions for 04.03.2020.
3. At joint request, re-notify the captioned IA for further proceedings on **02nd November, 2022.**

I.A. 3191/2021; I.A. 3192/2021 & I.A. 3193/2021

1. Perusal of the soft judicial file would show that the captioned IAs have been shown in the folder of application, however, on opening of the said folder of 'Application', there are sub-folders showing



‘Orders’, ‘Pleadings’ etc. separately but as per the practice followed, the captioned IAs should have been in the folder of ‘Application’, with appropriate Bookmark for each application. On last date of hearing, directions were given to take appropriate steps by the Registry or the Portfolio Branch to prepare file in accordance with Delhi High Court Rules, however, the same has not been complied with. Let the directions given in the last order dated 13.09.2022 be complied with and report be filed by Registry on next date of hearing.

2. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
3. Re-notify the captioned IAs for consideration on **02nd November, 2022.**

CCP(O) 13/2021.

1. Ld. Counsel for defendants has submitted that captioned contempt petition was registered as suit no.125/2018 in Delhi previously.
2. The captioned IA is not reflected in the soft copy of judicial file.
3. No report has been received from Registry.
4. Let the report be called again from Registry as well as from Portfolio Branch to indicate the location of the captioned IA in the soft copy of judicial file.
5. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
6. Re-notify captioned IA for further proceedings on **02nd November, 2022.**

I.A. No. 16431/2022 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC)

1. As per office noting, application u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC has been numbered as captioned IA, however, the same is not



reflected in soft copy of the judicial record. Registry to file his report on next date of hearing.

2. Re-notify the captioned IA for further proceedings on **02nd November, 2022.**

I.A. No. 16432/2022 (u/O VII Rule 11 of CPC by defendants)

1. It is submitted on behalf of the parties that reply to the captioned IA was already filed on 03.08.2016 and rejoinder thereto was also filed on 17.08.2022.
2. Registry is directed to compile the pleadings of the captioned IA available on record as per rules.
3. Re-notify captioned IA for further proceedings on **02nd November, 2022.**

CS(OS) 190/2017

1. It is submitted that the matter is coming up for hearing before the Hon'ble Court on 29.11.2022.
2. As per office noting, suit has been complied as per Delhi High Court Rules.
3. Office noting further reflects that two IAs have been numbered as 16431/2022 and 16432/2022.
4. It appears that all directions given in the last order have not been complied by the Registry. Registry to comply the directions given in the last order.
5. Chart/table of pending applications was filed on behalf of the defendant in compliance of order dated 13.05.2022 vide diary no.1286058 dated 01.08.2022.



6. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has also filed status of all five suits between the parties vide diary no.e-1538658/2022 dated 12.09.2022. However, the same has still not come on record. Registry to report about the same on next date of hearing.
7. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **02nd November, 2022.**

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 11, 2022/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any