



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 358/2017**

RAJ KUMAR GUPTA Plaintiff

Through Mr. Dhruv Surana and Mr. Ashish
Choudhury, Advocates

versus

SANJAY GUPTA & ANOTHER Defendant

Through Ms. Nidhi Gupta, Advocate for D-2
& 4
Satish Aggarwala and Mr. Vineet
Sharma, Advocates for D-3

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **19.07.2019**

As per record, the amended memo of parties and vakalatnama have been filed.

As per record, defendant no.1 remains unserved. It is noticed that on the last date of hearing, the direction was given for the service of defendant no.1. However, from the perusal of the order sheets dated 21.08.2018 and 29.11.2018, it appears that defendant no.1 is duly represented by counsel, so it means that defendant no.1 stands served. No written statement has been filed on behalf of defendant no.1 till date. None has appeared on behalf of defendant no.1. Thus the right of defendant no.1 to file the written statement is hereby



closed.

Defendant no.4 has not filed the written statement. Learned counsel for the defendant no.4 submits that the same shall be filed within seven days. Let the same be filed as per provisions of CPC and other relevant law to be followed by replication, if any, within the next 15 days.

The document schedule in terms of *Chapter VII, Rule 7A of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules* be filed by the parties within four weeks from today.

Relist for completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on 02.09.2019.

RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 19, 2019
hk