



\$~O-19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 1129/2015 and I.A. 8520/2015, I.A. 12539/2015, I.A. 13949/2015, I.A. 17568/2019, I.A. 17569/2019, I.A. 5114/2022, I.A. 6652/2022, I.A. 9706/2022, I.A. 9816/2022, I.A. 12533/2022, I.A. 21527/2022, I.A. 21528/2022, I.A. 22165/2022
HARMEET KAUR SINGHPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Choudhry with Ms. Preeti Kohli, Advocates.

versus

KIMMI BRARA & ORSDefendants

Through: Mr. Vivek K. Tandon with Ms. Laxmi Gupta, Mr. Vipin Bharadwaj and Ms. Pooja Giri, Advocates for D1.
Mr. Dhruva Bhagat, Advocate for D2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **14.10.2025**

1. At the outset, it be noted that none of the parties had entered into any sort of agreement with respect to two immovable properties involved in the civil suit. The aforesaid statement is made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, on instructions.
2. It appears that earlier various efforts were made to find out a buyer and the parties also suggested the proposed buyer, however, all those efforts remain unsuccessful.
3. Under these circumstances, the Court grants three months' further



time to the parties to find out a buyer who is willing to give the consideration that is agreeable to all the parties. If such a consensus is arrived at, the Court shall consider to pass appropriate orders.

4. List on 10.02.2026.

5. Needless to state, if a proposed buyer is finalised, the order of *status quo* thereafter, will have to be suitably modified/vacated.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025

tr/mj