



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS (OS) 1129/2015**

HARMEET KAUR SINGH

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Choudhary, Advocate.

Versus

KIMMI BRARA & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Rakesh Khanna, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. V.K. Tandon, Advocate for
defendant No.1.
Defendant No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

10.06.2022

I.A. 9706/2022 (u/O XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC by defendant No.1)

1. By way of present application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC read with Section 151 CPC, defendant No.1 seeks *inter-alia* the following reliefs against defendant No.2 and others:-

“a. direct the defendant No. 2, her husband and her agents /aligns, not to interfere / fiddle / temper the CCTV Cameras installed by defendant No. 1 on the ground floor of premises No. A-6/20, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi.

b. direct the defendant No. 2, her husband and her agents/aligns, to remove all the obstacles / blockages created by them, from the ground floor, including the steel Almirah, which is causing obstruction and blocking the CCTV camera from capturing the footage in the CCTV Cameras installed by defendant No. 1 on the ground floor of



premises No. A-6/20, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi.

c. direct the defendant No. 2, her husband and her agents/aligns not to interfere/disrupt the electricity supplied on the ground floor to defendant No. 1 and defendant No. 1/applicant to have clear and unobstructed access to the electricity meters installed in the stair case of the ground floor.”

2. Mr. Rakesh Khanna, learned Senior Counsel for defendant No.1, submits that the CCTV camera in question was installed at the ground floor of property bearing No. A-6/20, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi (hereinafter, referred to as the ‘*subject property*’) a long time ago for safety and security purposes and it has been in existence since. He further submits that the installation of the CCTV camera is not intruding the privacy of anyone and is rather looking outwards towards the open *verandah* and street thereafter.

3. Issue notice.

4. Defendant No.1, who is present in Court, accepts notice and seeks some time to file a Reply. Let the same be filed within four weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

5. Mr. Rohit Choudhary, learned counsel for the plaintiff, also accepts notice and submits that he does not wish to file any response to the present application.

6. Issue notice to defendant No.3 by all permissible modes, including electronic, returnable on the date already fixed i.e. 13.07.2022.

7. Till the next date of hearing, defendant No.2 is restrained from interfering/fiddling/tampering in any manner with the CCTV camera installed by defendant No.1 at the ground floor of the subject property. She



is also restrained from interfering/obstructing in capturing of the CCTV footage and shall not place anything in front of the camera or disconnect the electricity supply in connection therewith.

(MANOJ KUMAR OHRI)
VACATION JUDGE

JUNE 10, 2022

ga

Click here to check corrigendum, if any