



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 3634/2017**

ANUP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA Petitioner

Through: Mr Pradeep Jain and Mr Sambhav
Jain, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr Gokul Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

08.04.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 29899/2022 (amendment of writ petition), CM APPL. 47956/2018 (direction) and CM APPL. 16025/2017 (stay) in W.P.(C) 3634/2017.

1. Learned counsel for respondents seeks adjournment on the ground that another copy of rejoinder was received this morning only since the earlier copy of rejoinder was received in spam of his email.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the Central Administrative Tribunal, Guwahati, followed by the High Court of Guwahati held that the petitioner was authorized occupant. That being so, according to petitioner the present petition has to be allowed. But paragraph 28 (*PDF 566 of paperbook*) of the order passed by the CAT, Guwahati does not support this submission. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that there are other arguments/findings as well.
3. List for final arguments on 07.08.2024.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 8, 2024/ry

Click here to check corrigendum, if any